References

Academic Senate of the California State University. (2016). Quantitative reasoning task force final report. https://www.asccc.org/sites/default/files/V.%20G.%20QRTF%20Final%20Report%2008-01-2016.pdf

Achieve. (2020). The algebra II variable: State policies for graduation requirements, assessments, and alignment to postsecondary expectations. https://www.achieve.org/publications/algebra-ii-variable-state-policies-graduation-requirements-assessments-and-alignment

Adiredja, A. P., & Andrews-Larson, C. (2017). Taking the sociopolitical turn in postsecondary mathematics education research. *International Journal of Research in Undergraduate Mathematics Education*, *3*(3), 444-465. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40753-017-0054-5

Anhalt, C. (2018). Access to mathematics: Opening doors for students currently excluded. *Critical Issues in Mathematics Education*, Volume 14. Mathematical Sciences Research Institute. http://library.msri.org/cime/CIME-v14.pdf

Apkarian, N., Bowers, J., O'Sullivan, M. E., & Rasmussen, C. (2018). A case study of change in the teaching and learning of precalculus to Calculus 2: What we are doing with what we have. *PRIMUS*, *28*(6), 528–549. https://doi.org/10.1080/10511970.2017.1388319

Apkarian, N., Kirin, D., Bressoud, D., Rasmussen, C., Larsen, S., Ellis, J., Ensley, D., & Johnson, E. (2017). Progress through calculus: Census survey technical report. https://www.maa.org/sites/default/files/PtC%20Technical%20Report_Final.pdf

Appianing, J., & Van Eck, R. N. (2018). Development and Validation of the Value-Expectancy STEM Assessment Scale for students in higher education. *International Journal of STEM Education*, *5*(24), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-018-0121-8

Asim, M., Kurlaender, M., & Reed, S. (2019). 12th grade course-taking and the distribution of opportunity for college readiness in mathematics. Policy Analysis for California Education. https://edpolicyinca.org/publications/12th-grade-course-taking-and-distribution-opportunity-college-readiness-mathematics

Association of American Medical Colleges–Howard Hughes Medical Institute Committee. (2009). Scientific foundations for future physicians. https://www.aamc.org/system/files?file=2020-02/scientificfoundationsforfuturephysicians.pdf

Atkinson, R.C., & Geiser, S. (2009). Reflections on a century of college admissions tests. Center for Studies in Higher Education. https://cshe.berkeley.edu/publications/reflections-century-college-admissions-tests

Bailey, T., Jeong, D. W., & Cho, S. W. (2010). Referral, enrollment, and completion in developmental education sequences in community colleges. *Economics of Education Review. 29*(2), 255-270. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econedurev.2009.09.002

Baime, D., & Baum, S. (2016). Community colleges: Multiple missions, diverse student bodies, and a range of policy solutions. Urban Institute. https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED570475.pdf

Bargagliotti, A. E., Botelho, F., Gleason, J., Haddock, J., & Windsor, A. (2012). The effectiveness of blended instruction in core postsecondary mathematics courses. *International Journal for Technology in Mathematics Education*, *19*(3), Article 83. https://digitalcommons.lmu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1074&context=math_fac

Barnes, L.H., & Torres, A. (2018). Work to end tracking and offer four years of meaningful math instruction. National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. https://www.nctm.org/uploadedFiles/Standards_and_Positions/San-Francisco-Unified-School-District-Work-to-End-Tracking-and-Offer-Four-Years-of-Meaningful-Math-Instruction.pdf

Battey, D., & Leyva, L. A. (2016). A framework for understanding whiteness in mathematics education. *Journal of Urban Mathematics Education*, *9*(2), 49–80. https://doi.org/10.21423/jume-v9i2a294

Battey, D., Leyva, L. A., Williams, I., Belizario, V., Greco, R., & Shah, R. (2018). Racial (mis)match in middle school mathematics classrooms: Relational interactions as a racialized mechanism. *Harvard Educational Review*, *88*(4), 455-482. https://doi.org/10.17763/1943-5045-88.4.455

Beasley, M. A., & Fischer, M. J. (2012). Why they leave: The impact of stereotype threat on the attrition of women and minorities from science, math and engineering majors. *Social Psychology of Education*, *15*(4), 427–448. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11218-012-9185-3

Benjamin, R. (2019). *Race after technology: Abolitionist tools for the New Jim Code.* Polity.

Berry, R. Q., III, Ellis, M., & Hughes, S. (2014). Examining a history of failed reforms and recent stories of success: Mathematics education and Black learners of mathematics in the United States. *Race Ethnicity and Education*, *17*(4), 540–568. https://doi.org/10.1080/13613324.2013.818534

Blair, R., Kirkman, E. E., & Maxwell, J. W. (2018). Statistical abstract of undergraduate programs in the mathematical sciences in the United States: Fall 2015 CBMS survey. https://www.ams.org/profession/data/cbms-survey/cbms2015-Report.pdf

Bloodhart, B., Balgopal, M. M., Casper, A.M.A., Sample McMeeking, L. B., & Fischer, E. V. (2020). Outperforming yet undervalued: Undergraduate women in STEM. *PLoS One*, 15(6): e0234685. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234685

Boysen, G. A., Vogel, D. L., Cope, M. A., & Hubbard, A. (2009). Incidents of bias in college classrooms: Instructor and student perceptions. *Journal of Diversity in Higher Education, 2*(4), 219-231. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0017538

Bracco, K. R., Barrat, V. X., Skjoldhorne, S., & Finkelstein, N. (2021). Student progress before and after California State University's Executive Order 1110. WestEd. https://www.wested.org/resources/student-progress-before-and-after-csu-executive-order-1110/

Brathwaite, J., Fay, M., & Moussa, A. (2020, November 2). Improving developmental and college-level mathematics: Prominent reforms and the need to address equity. Community College Research Center. https://ccrc.tc.columbia.edu/publications/improving-developmental-college-level-mathematics.html

Bressoud, D. (2014, November 1). MAA calculus study: Women are different. *MAA Launchings.* http://launchings.blogspot.com/2014/11/maa-calculus-study-women-are-different.html

Bressoud, D. (2015a). The calculus students. In D. Bressoud, V. Mesa, & C. Rasmussen (Eds.), *Insights and recommendations from the MAA national study of college calculus* (pp. 1–16). MAA Press. https://www.maa.org/sites/default/files/pdf/cspcc/InsightsandRecommendations.pdf

Bressoud, D. (2015b). *Insights from the MAA national study of college calculus.* The Mathematics Teacher, *109*(3), 179–185. https://doi.org/10.5951/mathteacher.109.3.0178

Bressoud, D. (2015, May 1). Calculus at crisis I: The pressures. *MAA Launchings.* https://launchings.blogspot.com/2015/05/calculus-at-crisis-i-pressures.html

Bressoud, D. (2017a). Introduction: Summary report of the workshop on the role of calculus in the transition from high school to college mathematics, Washington, DC, March 17–19, 2016. In D. M. Bressoud (Ed.), *The role of calculus in the transition from high school to college mathematics* (pp. 1–8). The Mathematical Association of America and the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. https://www.maa.org/sites/default/files/RoleOfCalc_rev.pdf

Bressoud, D. M. (Ed.). (2017b). *The role of calculus in the transition from high school to college mathematics.* The Mathematical Association of America and the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. https://www.maa.org/sites/default/files/RoleOfCalc_rev.pdf

Bressoud, D. (2017, March 1). MAA calculus studies: Use of local data. *MAA Launchings.* http://launchings.blogspot.com/2017_03_01_archive.html

Bressoud, D. (2017, May 1). Re-imagining the calculus curriculum, I. *MAA Launchings.* http://launchings.blogspot.com/2017/05/re-imagining-calculus-curriculum-i.html

Bressoud, D. (2017, June 1). Re-imagining the calculus curriculum, II. *MAA Launchings.* http://launchings.blogspot.com/2017/06/re-imagining-calculus-curriculum-ii.html

Bressoud, D. (2018, January 31). Why colleges must change how they teach calculus. *The Conversation.* https://theconversation.com/why-colleges-must-change-how-they-teach-calculus-90679

Bressoud, D. (2018, March 1). A false dichotomy: Lecture vs. active learning. *MAA Launchings.* http://launchings.blogspot.com/2018/03/a-false-dichotomy-lecture-vs-active.html

Bressoud, D. (2018, August 1). Calculus as a modeling course at Macalester College. *MAA Launchings.* http://launchings.blogspot.com/2018/08/calculus-as-modeling-course-at.html

Bressoud, D. (2018, August 31). Should students wait until college to take calculus? *MAA Launchings.* http://launchings.blogspot.com/2018/08/should-students-wait-until-college-to.html

Bressoud, D. M. (2019). *Calculus reordered.* Princeton University Press. https://www.maa.org/press/maa-reviews/calculus-reordered

Bressoud, D. (2019, July 1). Calculus reordered: A history of the big ideas. *MAA Math Values.* https://www.mathvalues.org/masterblog/launchings201906-z45y4

Bressoud, D. (2019, August 1). Calculus reform: What is different this time? *MAA Math Values.* https://www.mathvalues.org/masterblog/launchings201906-z45y4-fhkpj

Bressoud, D. (2020, January 1). Changing attitudes toward student-centered approaches for teaching mathematics. *MAA Math Values.* https://www.mathvalues.org/masterblog/launchings202001

Bressoud, D. (2020, March 1). Peak AP Calculus: What comes next, part I. *MAA Math Values.* https://www.mathvalues.org/masterblog/launchings202003

Bressoud, D. (2020, April 1). Peak AP Calculus: What comes next, part II. *MAA Math Values.* https://www.mathvalues.org/masterblog/launchings202004

Bressoud, D. M. (2021). The strange role of calculus in the United States. *ZDM – Mathematics Education, 53*(3), 521–533. http://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-020-01188-0

Bressoud, D. M., Camp, D., Teague, D. (2017). Background to the MAA/NCTM Statement on Calculus. In D. M. Bressoud (Ed.), *The role of calculus in the transition from high school to college mathematics* (pp. 77-81). The Mathematical Association of America and the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. https://www.maa.org/sites/default/files/RoleOfCalc_rev.pdf

Bressoud, D. M., Carlson, M. P., Mesa, V., & Rasmussen, C. (2013). The calculus student: Insights from the Mathematical Association of America national study. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology, 44*(5), 685–698. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0020739X.2013.798874

Bressoud, D., Mesa, V., & Rasmussen, C. (Eds.). (2015). *Insights and recommendations from the MAA national study of college calculus.* MAA Press. https://www.maa.org/sites/default/files/pdf/cspcc/InsightsandRecommendations.pdf

Bressoud, D. M., & Rasmussen, C. (2015). Seven characteristics of successful calculus programs. *Notices of the American Mathematical Society, 62*(2), 144–146. http://www.ams.org/notices/201502/rnoti-p144.pdf

Brilleslyper, M., Ghirst, M., Holcomb, T., Schaubroeck, B., Warner, B., & Williams, S. (2011). What's the point? Benefits of grading without points. *Problems, Resources, and Issues in Mathematics Undergraduate Studies, 22*(5), 411-427. https://doi.org/10.1080/10511970.2011.571346

Brugueras, J., Hernández-González, L., & Libgober, A. (n.d.). Statistical analysis of the Emerging Scholars Program at the University of Illinois at Chicago. University of Illinois at Chicago. http://homepages.math.uic.edu/~libgober/export/UIC_ESP%20Study.pdf

Burdman, P. (2015). Degrees of freedom: Probing placement policies at California colleges and universities. Part 3 of a series. PACE and Learning Works. https://edpolicyinca.org/sites/default/files/PACE%203.pdf

Burdman, P. (2017, February 15). CSU needs more effective way to assess students' math readiness. *EdSource.* https://edsource.org/2017/csu-needs-more-effective-way-to-assess-students-math-readiness/576864

Burdman, P., Booth, K., Thorn, C., Bahr, P. R., McNaughtan, J., & Jackson, G. (2018). Multiple paths forward: Diversifying mathematics as a strategy for college success. Just Equations & WestEd. https://justequations.org/wp-content/uploads/Multiple-Paths-Forward-Executive-Summary.pdf

Burdman, P., & Purnell, R. (2020). Crossing signals: What college websites tell students about taking mathematics. Just Equations. https://justequations.org/resource/crossing-signals-report-082020/

Burdman, P. (2021, October 25). The psychology behind the psychology behind Calculus. Just Equations. https://justequations.org/news/pam-october-2021-blog/

Burn, H. E., & Mesa, V. (2015). The Calculus I curriculum. In D. Bressoud, V. Mesa, & C. Rasmussen (Eds.), *Insights and recommendations from the MAA national study of college calculus* (pp. 45–57). MAA Press. https://www.maa.org/sites/default/files/pdf/cspcc/InsightsandRecommendations.pdf

Burn, H. E., & Mesa, V. (2017). Not your grandma's lecture: Interactive lecture in Calculus I in the CSPCC two-year cases. *MathAMATYC Educator, 8*(3), 24–29. https://www.maa.org/sites/default/files/pdf/cspcc/Burn_Mesa_InteractiveLecture_MathAMATYCEducator_2017.pdf

Burn, H. E., Mesa, V., & White, N. (2015). Calculus I in community colleges: Findings from the national CSPCC study. *MathAMATYC Educator, 6*(3), 34–39. https://www.maa.org/sites/default/files/pdf/cspcc/BurnMesaWhiteVol6No3.pdf

Burn, H., Mesa, V., Wood, J. L., Zamani-Gallaher, E. (2018). National survey of community colleges mathematics chairs technical report and summary. The University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign: Office of Community College Research and Leadership. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED592079

Campbell, R., Clark, D., & OShaughnessy, J. (2020). Introduction to the special issue on implementing mastery grading in the undergraduate mathematics classroom. *PRIMUS, 30*(8-10), 837–848. https://doi.org/10.1080/10511970.2020.1778824

Canning, E. A., Muenks, K., Green, D. J., & Murphy, M. C. (2019). STEM faculty who believe ability is fixed have larger racial achievement gaps and inspire less student motivation in their classes. *Science Advances, 5*(2). https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aau4734

Carnevale, A. (2016, March 25). Panel 1: Expanded workforce demand for quantitative/math skills [Panel presentation]. Georgetown University Center on Education and the Workforce, Washington, DC.

Carnevale, A. P., Smith, N., & Melton, M. (2011). STEM: Science, Technology, Engineering, Mathematics. Georgetown University Center on Education and the Workforce. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED525297

Carver-Thomas, D. (2018). Diversifying the teaching profession: How to recruit and retain teachers of color. Learning Policy Institute. https://learningpolicyinstitute.org/sites/default/files/product-files/Diversifying_Teaching_Profession_REPORT_0.pdf

Chen, X. (2013). STEM attrition: College students' paths into and out of STEM fields, (2014-001). National Center for Education Statistics, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. https://nces.ed.gov/pubs2014/2014001rev.pdf

Cheryan, S., Master, A., & Meltzoff, A. N. (2015). Cultural stereotypes as gatekeepers: Increasing girls' interest in computer science and engineering by diversifying stereotypes. *Frontiers in Psychology, 6*(49). https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00049

Cheryan, S., Ziegler, S. A., Montoya, A. K., & Jiang, L. (2017). Why are some STEM fields more gender balanced than others? *Psychological Bulletin, 143*(1), 1–35. http://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000052

Cimpian, J. R., Lubienski, S. T., Timmer, J. D., Makowski, M. B., & Miller, E. K. (2016). Have gender gaps in math closed? Achievement, teacher perceptions, and learning behaviors across two ECLS-K cohorts. *AERA Open, 2*(4). https://doi.org/10.1177/2332858416673617

Cleary, R., & Malone, C. (2021). *A touch of calculus: Shaking up the pre-requisite structure of college mathematics* [Slide show]. Babson College Electronic Seminar in Mathematics Education, Wellesley, Massachusetts, September 15, 2020.

College Board. (2010–2018). SAT Suite of Assessments Annual Report. https://research.collegeboard.org/programs/sat/data/archived/2018-sat-suite-annual-report

Cvencek, D., Brečić, R., Gaćeša, D., & Meltzoff, A. N. (2021). Development of math attitudes and math self-concepts: Gender differences, implicit-explicit dissociations, and relations to math achievement. *Child Development.* https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.13523

Dadgar, M., Buck, D., & Burdman, P. (2021). Solving for equity: Design and implementation of new postsecondary math pathways. Just Equations. https://justequations.org/resource/solving-for-equity-design-and-implementation-of-new-postsecondary-math-pathways/

Daro, P., & Asturias, H. (2019). Branching out: Designing high school math pathways for equity. Just Equations. https://justequations.org/resource/branching-out-designing-high-school-math-pathways-for-equity/

Davis, M., DuPree, D., & Moore, B. (2018). Math pathways report: Math course-taking patterns for successful Washington transfer students. Washington Math Pathways to Completion Project.

Dunnigan, G., & Halcrow, C. (2021). If you don't build it, they will leave: Reforming an applied calculus course by eliminating large lectures and incorporating active learning. *PRIMUS, 31*(5), 413-433. https://doi.org/10.1080/10511970.2020.1769234

Dwyer, D., Gruenwald, M., Stickles, J., & Axtell, M. (2017). Resequencing calculus. *PRIMUS, 28*(6), 587–599. https://doi.org/10.1080/10511970.2017.1333179

Eagan, K., Hurtado, S., Figueroa, T., & Hughes, B. (2014). Examining STEM pathways among students who begin college at four-year institutions. Commissioned paper: Washington, D.C., National Academy of Sciences. http://sites.nationalacademies.org/cs/groups/dbassesite/documents/webpage/dbasse_088834.pdf

Ellington, R., Barber, J., Tannouri, A., Syafrida, S., & Nkwanta, A. (2021). The MSU SEMINAL Project: Incorporating principles of culturally responsive teaching in a pre-calculus course. *PRIMUS, 31*(3-5), 296-315. https://doi.org/10.1080/10511970.2020.1805661

Ellis, J., Fosdick, B. K., & Rasmussen, C. (2016). Women 1.5 times more likely to leave STEM pipeline after calculus compared to men: Lack of mathematical confidence a potential culprit. *PLoS ONE, 11*(7), e0157447. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0157447

Ellis, J., Hanson, K., Nuñez, G., & Rasmussen, C. (2015). Beyond plug and chug: An analysis of Calculus I homework. *International Journal of Research in Undergraduate Mathematics Education, 1*(2), 268–287. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40753-015-0012-z

Ellis, J., Kelton, M. L., & Rasmussen, C. (2014). Student perceptions of pedagogy and associated persistence in calculus. *ZDM – Mathematics Education, 46*(4), 661-673. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-014-0577-z

Esmonde, I., & Langer-Osuna, J. M. (2013). Power in numbers: Student participation in mathematical discussions in heterogeneous spaces. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education, 44*(1), 288-315. https://doi.org/10.5951/jresematheduc.44.1.0288

Faulkner, B., Johnson-Glauch, N., San Choi, D., & Herman, G. L. (2020). When am I ever going to use this? An investigation of the calculus content of core engineering courses. *Journal of Engineering Education, 109*(3), 402–423. https://doi.org/10.1002/jee.20344

Ferrini-Mundy, J., & Güçler, B. (2009). Discipline-based efforts to enhance undergraduate STEM education. *New Directions for Teaching and Learning, 2009*(117), 55–67. https://doi.org/10.1002/tl.344

Fink, J., Jenkins, D., Kopko, E., & Ran, F. X. (2018). Using data mining to explore why community college transfer students earn bachelor's degrees with excess credits. Community College Research Center, Teachers College, Columbia University. https://ccrc.tc.columbia.edu/media/k2/attachments/using-data-mining-explore-why-community-college-transfer-students-earn-bachelors-degrees-excess-credits.pdf

Flaherty, C. (2015, April 24). Math wars: 'Instrument of torture' or building block of understanding? UCLA and other universities debate how much math, and what kind, is enough for life sciences majors. *Inside Higher Education.* https://www.insidehighered.com/news/2015/04/24/just-how-much-math-and-what-kind-enough-life-sciences-majors

Fong, K. E., & Melguizo, T. (2016). Utilizing additional measures of high school academic preparation to support students in their math self-assessment. *Community College Journal of Research and Practice, 41*(9), 566–592. https://doi.org/10.1080/10668926.2016.1179604

Freeman, S., Eddy, S. L., McDonough, M., Smith, M. K., Okoroafor, N., Jordt, H., & Wenderoth, M. P. (2014). Active learning increases student performance in science, engineering and mathematics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111*(23), 8410–8415. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1319030111

Fullilove, R. E., & Treisman, P. U. (1990). Mathematics achievement among African American undergraduates at the University of California, Berkeley: An evaluation of the Mathematics Workshop Program. *The Journal of Negro Education, 59*(3), 463-478. https://doi.org/10.2307/2295577

Ganter, S. L., & Barker, W. (Eds.). (2004). The Curriculum Foundations Project: Voices of the partner disciplines. Mathematical Association of America. https://www.maa.org/sites/default/files/pdf/CUPM/crafty/curriculum-foundations.pdf

Gao, N. (2021). Does raising high school graduation requirements improve student outcomes? Public Policy Institute of California. https://www.ppic.org/wp-content/uploads/does-raising-high-school-graduation-requirements-improve-student-outcomes-february-2021.pdf

Gao, N., & Adan, S. (2016). Math placement in California's public schools. Public Policy Institute of California. https://www.ppic.org/publication/math-placement-in-californias-public-schools/

Gates, S. J., Jr., Handelsman, J., Lepage, G. P., & Mirkin, C., PCAST STEM Undergraduate Education Working Group, (Eds.). (2012). Engage to excel: Producing one million additional college graduates with degrees in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics. Executive Office of the President and President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology, Washington, DC. https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/Engage%20to%20Excel%20Producing%20One%20Million%20Additional%20College%20Graduates%20With%20Degrees%20in%20STEM%20Feburary%202012.pdf

Geiser, S. (2015). The growing correlation between race and SAT scores: New findings from California. Center for Studies in Higher Education. https://cshe.berkeley.edu/publications/growing-correlation-between-race-and-sat-scores-new-findings-california-saul-geiser

Geiser, S. (2017). Norm-referenced tests and race-blind admissions: The case for eliminating the SAT and ACT at the University of California. Center for Studies in Higher Education. https://cshe.berkeley.edu/publications/norm-referenced-tests-and-race-blind-admissions-case-eliminating-sat-and-act-university

Good, C., Rattan, A., & Dweck, C. S. (2012). Why do women opt out? Sense of belonging and women's representation in mathematics. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 102*(4), 700–717. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0026659

Goyer, A., Lynch, A., & Wand, J. (2021). A redesign of precalculus at California State University, Monterey Bay. *PRIMUS*, 31(3-5), 492–503. https://doi.org/10.1080/10511970.2020.1746451

Gruber, S., Rosca, R. I., Chazan, D., Fleming, E., Balady, S., VanNetta, C., & Okoudjou, K. A. (2021). Active learning in an undergraduate precalculus course: Insights from a course redesign. *PRIMUS, 31*(3-5), 358–370. https://doi.org/10.1080/10511970.2020.1772920

Hagman, J. E. (2019). The eighth characteristic for successful calculus programs: Diversity, equity, & inclusion practices. *PRIMUS*, *31*(1), 70-90. https://doi.org/10.1080/10511970.2019.1629555

Hancock, E., Franco, L., Bagley, S., & Karakok, G. (2021). A holistic approach to supporting student-centered pedagogy: Navigating co-requisite Calculus I. *PRIMUS*, *31*(3-5), 608–626. https://doi.org/10.1080/10511970.2020.1802794

Harper, R. P., Weston, T. J., & Seymour, E. (2019). Students' perceptions of good STEM teaching. In E. Seymour, & A. B. Hunter (Eds.), *Talking about leaving revisited: Persistence, relocation, and loss in undergraduate STEM education* (pp. 245–276). Springer Nature Switzerland AG. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-25304-2

Hayes, M. L. (2019). 2018 NSSME+: Status of high school mathematics. Horizon Research, Inc. http://horizon-research.com/NSSME/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/2018-NSSME-Status-of-High-School-Math.pdf

Hayward, C. (2021). Maximizing math throughput of students who did not complete Algebra 2 in high school. RP Group. https://bit.ly/Maximize-Math-Throughput-Alg2

Henfield, M. S., & Byrd, J. A. (2014). Recruiting and retaining gifted black students in STEM majors: Implications for college counselors. In J. P. Bakken, F. E. Obiakor, & A. F. Rotatori (Eds.), *Gifted Education: Current Perspectives and Issues*, *Advances in Special Education*, *Volume 26* (pp. 211–221). Emerald Group Publishing Limited, Bingley. https://doi.org/10.1108/S0270-4013(2014)0000026010

Hill, C., Corbett, C., & St. Rose, A. (2010). Why so few? Women in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics. American Association of University Women. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED509653

Hodara, M. (2019). Understanding the developmental mathematics student population: Findings from a nationally representative sample of first-time college entrants. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. https://sites.nationalacademies.org/cs/groups/dbassesite/documents/webpage/dbasse_191821.pdf

Holland, D. G. (2019). The struggle to belong and thrive. In E. Seymour, & A. B. Hunter (Eds.), *Talking about leaving revisited: Persistence, relocation, and loss in undergraduate STEM education* (pp. 277–327). Springer Nature Switzerland AG. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-25304-2

Hsu, E., & Bressoud, D. (2015). Placement and student performance in Calculus I. In D. Bressoud, V. Mesa, & C. Rasmussen (Eds.), *Insights and recommendations from the MAA national study of college calculus* (pp. 59–68). MAA Press. https://www.maa.org/sites/default/files/pdf/cspcc/InsightsandRecommendations.pdf

Hsu, E., Mesa, V., & The Calculus Case Collective. (2015). Synthesizing measures of institutional success. CSPCC-Technical Report No. 1, Washington, DC: Mathematical Association of America. https://www.maa.org/sites/default/files/pdf/cspcc/SynthezingMeasuresofSuccess_Hsu_Mesa_Technical_Report1_Final.pdf

Hsu, E., Murphy, T. J., & Treisman, U. (2008). Supporting high achievement in introductory mathematics courses: What we have learned from 30 years of the Emerging Scholars Program. In M. Carlson & C. Rasmussen (Eds.), *Making the Connection: Research and Teaching in Undergraduate Mathematics Education* (pp. 205-220). Mathematical Association of America. https://doi.org/10.5948/UPO9780883859759.017

Huang, G., Taddese, N., & Walter, E. (2000). Entry and persistence of women and minorities in college science and engineering education. *Education Statistics Quarterly*, *2*(3), 59–60. https://nces.ed.gov/programs/quarterly/vol_2/2_3/post_women.asp

Hunter, A. B. (2019). Why undergraduates leave STEM majors: Changes over the last two decades. In E. Seymour, & A. B. Hunter (Eds.), *Talking about leaving revisited: Persistence, relocation, and loss in undergraduate STEM education* (pp. 87–114). Springer Nature Switzerland AG. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-25304-2

Hyde, J. S., & Mertz, J. E. (2009). Gender, culture, and mathematics performance. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *106*(22), 8801–8807. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0901265106

Johnson, E., & Hanson, K. (2015). Academic and social supports. In D. Bressoud, V. Mesa, & C. Rasmussen (Eds.), *Insights and recommendations from the MAA national study of college calculus* (pp. 69–82). MAA Press. https://www.maa.org/sites/default/files/pdf/cspcc/InsightsandRecommendations.pdf

Johnson, H., & Sanchez, S. (2018, July 1). More students are earning STEM degrees. Public Policy Institute of California. https://www.ppic.org/blog/more-students-are-earning-stem-degrees/

Joseph, N. M., Haynes, C., & Cobb, F. (Eds.). (2016). *Interrogating whiteness and relinquishing power: White faculty's commitment to racial consciousness in STEM classrooms.* Peter Lang. https://doi.org/10.3726/978-1-4539-1716-9

Klingbeil, N. W., & Bourne, A. (2013, June 23–26). *A national model for engineering mathematics education: Longitudinal impact at Wright State University* [Conference presentation]. 2013 ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition, Atlanta, Georgia. https://peer.asee.org/a-national-model-for-engineering-mathematics-education-longitudinal-impact-at-wright-state-university

Klingbeil, N. W., & Bourne, A. (2015, June 14–17). *The Wright State model for engineering mathematics education: Longitudinal impact on initially underprepared students* [Conference presentation]. 2015 ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition, Seattle, Washington. https://peer.asee.org/the-wright-state-model-for-engineering-mathematics-education-longitudinal-impact-on-initially-underprepared-students

Klingbeil, N. W., Mercer, R. E., Rattan, K. S., Raymer, M. L. & Reynolds, D. B. (2004, June 20–23). *Rethinking engineering mathematics education: A model for increased retention, motivation and success in engineering* [Conference presentation]. 2004 ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition, Salt Lake City, Utah. https://peer.asee.org/rethinking-engineering-mathematics-education-a-model-for-increased-retention-motivation-and-success-in-engineering

Klingbeil, N. W., Rattank, K. S., Raymer, M. L., & Mercer, R. E. (2009). *The Wright State model for engineering mathematics education: A nationwide adoption, assessment, and evaluation.* [Conference presentation]. 2009 ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition, Austin, Texas. https://corescholar.libraries.wright.edu/knoesis/953/

Kokkelenberg, E. C., & Sinha, E. (2010). Who succeeds in STEM studies? An analysis of Binghamton University undergraduate students. *Economics of Education Review*, *29*(6), 935–946. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.econedurev.2010.06.016

Kosiewicz, H., & Ngo, F. (2019). Giving community college students choice: The impact of self-placement in math courses. *American Educational Research Journal*, *57*(3), 1358–1391. https://doi.org/10.3102/0002831219872500

Krinsky, S. (2021, July 9). *Using outcomes based assessment to facilitate active learning at scale: What to do with hundreds (or thousands) of students…* [Conference presentation]. Community for Mathematics Inquiry in Teaching – California/Nevada Mini Conference. https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1JcoJZda-bN_KFoRDRH-0XkfMPbq3e9jQz5zUwjvBKrs/edit#slide=id.ge39d73c664_2_45.

Kurlaender, M., & Cohen, M. (2019). Predicting college success: How do different high school assessments measure up? Policy Analysis for California Education. https://edpolicyinca.org/sites/default/files/R_Kurlaender_Mar-2019.pdf

Kurlaender, M., Reed, S., Grosz, M., Mathias, J., & Hughes, K. (2021). A foot in the door: Growth in participation and equity in dual enrollment in California. Wheelhouse—The Center for Community College Leadership and Research. https://education.ucdavis.edu/sites/main/files/wheelhouse_research_brief_vol_6_no_7_final.pdf

Larsen, S., Glover, E., & Melhuish, K. (2015). Beyond good teaching: The benefits and challenges of implementing ambitious teaching. In D. Bressoud, V. Mesa, & C. Rasmussen (Eds.), *Insights and recommendations from the MAA national study of college calculus* (pp. 93–105). MAA Press. https://www.maa.org/sites/default/files/pdf/cspcc/InsightsandRecommendations.pdf

Lewis, D. (2019). Increasing student engagement in math placement and preparation. *arXiv: History and Overview.* https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.00585v1

Leyva, L. A., McNeill, R. T., Marshall, B. L., & Guzmán, O. A. (2021). "It seems like they purposefully try to make as many kids drop": An analysis of logics and mechanisms of racial-gendered inequality in introductory mathematics instruction. *The Journal of Higher Education*, *92*(5), 784–814. https://doi.org/10.1080/00221546.2021.1879586

Leyva, L. A., Quea, R., Weber, K., Battey, D., & López, D. (2021). Detailing racialized and gendered mechanisms of undergraduate precalculus and calculus classroom instruction. *Cognition and Instruction*, *39*(1), 1–34. https://doi.org/10.1080/07370008.2020.1849218

Logue, A.W., Douglas, D., & Watanabe-Rose, M. (2019). Corequisite mathematics remediation: Results over time and in different contexts. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, *41*(3), 294-315. https://doi.org/10.3102%2F0162373719848777

Loveless, T. (2008). The misplaced math student: Lost in eighth-grade algebra. Brookings Institution. https://www.brookings.edu/wp-content/uploads/2016/06/0922_education_loveless.pdf

Maciejewski, W., Bragelman, J., Campisi, M., Hsu, T., Gottlieb, A., Schettler, J., Bergthold, T., & Cayco, B. (2021). Change comes from without: Lessons learned in a chaotic year. *PRIMUS*, *31*(3-5), 504–516. https://doi.org/10.1080/10511970.2020.1793854

Malcolm, S., & Feder, M. (Eds.). (2016). Barriers and opportunities for 2-year and 4-year STEM degrees: Systemic change to support students' diverse pathways. National Academies Press. http://thescienceexperience.org/Books/Barriers_And_Opportunities_for_2-_And_4-year_STEM_Degrees.pdf

Maloney, E. A., Schaeffer, M. W., & Beilock, S. L. (2013). Mathematics anxiety and stereotype threat: Shared mechanisms, negative consequences and promising interventions. *Research in Mathematics Education*, *15*(2), 115–128. https://doi.org/10.1080/14794802.2013.797744

Malzahn, K. A., Trygstad, P. J., Banilower, E. R., Hayes, M. L., & Blessing, M. E. (2020). Are all students getting equal access to high-quality mathematics education? Data from the 2018 NSSME+. Horizon Research, Inc. http://horizon-research.com/NSSME/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/Math-Equity-Report.pdf

McCloud, D. (2016). Racial stereotype threat: A critical race perspective. [Theses and dissertations, Illinois State University]. 525. http://doi.org/10.30707/ETD2016.McCloud.D

McCoy, D. L., Winkle-Wagner, R., & Luedke, C. L. (2015). Colorblind mentoring? Exploring white faculty mentoring of students of color. *Journal of Diversity in Higher Education*, *8*(4), 225-242. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0038676

McGee, E. O. (2016). Devalued Black and Latino racial identities: A by-product of STEM college culture? *American Educational Research Journal, 53*(6), 1626–1662. https://doi.org/10.3102/0002831216676572

McGee, E. O. (2021, May 4). Black, Brown, Bruised: How racialized STEM education stifles innovation [Conference presentation]. Designing Mathematics Pathways for Equity: A Virtual Conference.

McGee, E. O., & Martin, D. B. (2011). "You would not believe what I have to go through to prove my intellectual value!" Stereotype management among academically successful Black mathematics and engineering students. *American Educational Research Journal, 48*(6), 1347–1389. https://doi.org/10.3102/0002831211423972

McNeill, R. T., Marshall, B. L., & Leyva, L. A. (in press). "I wish I could say 'You should not be here'": An analysis of instructors' and students' contrasting perceptions of a racialized and gendered gatekeeping practice in calculus. Feature article for *Mathematical Association of America Notes.*

Melguizo, T., Bos, J., Prather, G., Kosiewicz, H., Fong, K., & Ngo, F. (2015). Assessment and placement policies and practices in developmental math: Evidence from experimentation in a large urban community college district in California. https://pullias.usc.edu/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/luccd-final.pdf

Mejia, M.C., Rodriguez, O., & Johnson, H. (2020). A new era of student success at California's community colleges. Public Policy Institute of California. https://www.ppic.org/wp-content/uploads/a-new-era-of-student-access-at-californias-community-colleges-november-2020.pdf

Mesa, V., Burn, H., & White, N. (2015). Good teaching of Calculus I. In D. Bressoud, V. Mesa, & C. Rasmussen (Eds.), *Insights and recommendations from the MAA national study of college calculus* (pp. 83–91). MAA Press. https://www.maa.org/sites/default/files/pdf/cspcc/InsightsandRecommendations.pdf

Miller-Cotto, D., & Lewis, N. A., Jr. (2020, August 6). Am I a "math person"? How classroom cultures shape math identity among Black and Latinx students. https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/hcqst

National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (2018). *Catalyzing change in high school mathematics: Initiating critical conversations.* https://www.nctm.org/Standards-and-Positions/Catalyzing-Change/Catalyzing-Change-in-High-School-Mathematics/

Oakes, J., Ormseth, T., Bell, R., & Camp, P. (1990). Multiplying inequalities: The effects of race, social class, and tracking on opportunities to learn mathematics and science. RAND. https://www.rand.org/pubs/reports/R3928.html

O'Leary, S. E., Sayson, H. W., Shapiro, C., Garfinkel, A., Conley, W., Levis-Fitzgerald, M., Eagan, M.K., & Van Valkenburgh, B. (2021). Reimagining the introductory math curriculum for life science students. CBE-Life Sciences *Education 20*(4). https://www.lifescied.org/doi/10.1187/cbe.20-11-0252

Oliver, J., & Olkin, J. (2021). A community of practice model for infusing active learning in the classroom. *PRIMUS, 31*(3-5), 252–268. https://doi.org/10.1080/10511970.2020.1746452

O'Sullivan, M., Smith, W. M., & Tubbs, R. (2021). Leadership. In W. M. Smith, M. Voigt, A. Ström, D. C. Webb, & W. G. Martin (Eds.), *Transformational change efforts: Student engagement in mathematics through an institutional network for active learning* (pp. 187–204). American Mathematical Society.

Pedraza, L. D. (2019). Examining STEM undergraduate persistence and the differential relationships across sex, race, and ethnicity through two-factor theory. [Dissertations and theses, Seton Hall University]. https://scholarship.shu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=3732&context=dissertations

Pilgrim, M. E., & Gehrtz, J. (2018). Sustaining change in Calculus I. *PRIMUS, 28*(6), 562–573. https://doi.org/10.1080/10511970.2017.1289574

Price, J. (2010). The effect of instructor race and gender on student persistence in STEM fields. *Economics of Education Review, 29*(6), 901–910. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econedurev.2010.07.009

Priniski, S. J., & Thoman, D. B. (2020, August 6). Fostering an inclusively relevant mathematics environment: The case for combining social-justice and utility-value approaches. https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/wsx9d

Purnell, R., & Burdman, P. (2020). Go Figure: Exploring Equity in Students' Postsecondary Math Pathway Choices. Just Equations. https://justequations.org/resource/go-figure-report/

Quarles, C. L., & Davis, M. (2017). Is learning in developmental math associated with community college outcomes? *Community College Review*, *45*(1), 33–51. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/0091552116673711

Ran, F. X., & Lin, Y. (2019). The effects of corequisite remediation: Evidence from a statewide reform in Tennessee. Community College Research Center. https://ccrc.tc.columbia.edu/publications/effects-corequisite-remediation-tennessee.html

Rasmussen, C., Apkarian, N., Donsig, A., Martinez, A., Tubbs, R., & Williams, M. (2021). Designing and implementing course coordination. In W. M. Smith, M. Voigt, A. Ström, D. C. Webb, & W. G. Martin (Eds.), *Transformational change efforts: Student engagement in mathematics through an institutional network for active learning* (pp. 205–219). American Mathematical Society.

Rasmussen, C., Apkarian, N., Hagman, J. E., Johnson, E., Larsen, S., Bressoud, D., & Progress through Calculus Team. (2019). Characteristics of precalculus through Calculus 2 programs: Insights from a national census survey. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, *50*(1), 98–112. https://doi.org/10.5951/jresematheduc.50.1.0098

Rasmussen, C., & Ellis, J. (2013). Students who switch out of calculus and the reasons they leave. In M. Martinez, & A. Castro Superfine (Eds.). *Proceedings of the 35th annual meeting of the North American Chapter of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education* (pp. 457–464). University of Illinois at Chicago. https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED584594.pdf

Riegle-Crumb, C., King, B., & Irizarry, Y. (2019). Does STEM stand out? Examining racial/ethnic gaps in persistence across postsecondary fields. *Educational Researcher*, *48*(3), 133–144. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X19831006

Roberts, M. T. (2019). Racism in remediation: How Black students navigate stereotypes to achieve success in developmental mathematics. *Community College Journal of Research and Practice*, *44*(10-12), 701–721. https://doi.org/10.1080/10668926.2019.1640143

Rodriguez, O., Mejia, M. C., & Johnson, H. (2018). Remedial education reforms at California's community colleges: Early evidence on placement and curricular reforms. Public Policy Institute of California. https://www.ppic.org/wp-content/uploads/remedial-education-reforms-at-californias-community-colleges-august-2018.pdf

Romash, Z. M. (2019). Leaving STEM: An examination of the STEM to non-STEM major change and how the STEM curriculum relates to academic achievement in non-STEM fields. [Dissertations and theses, Seton Hall University, volume 81-04, section A, p. 129]. https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2019PhDT.....58R

Rosner, J. (2011). The SAT: Quantifying the unfairness behind the bubbles. In J. A. Soares (Ed.), *SAT wars: The case for test-optional college admissions.* Teachers College Press. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/265814570_SAT_Wars_The_Case_for_Test-Optional_College_Admissions_ed_by_Joseph_A_Soares_review

Sadler, P. M., & Sonnet, G. Factors influencing success in introductory college calculus. In D. M. Bressoud (Ed.), *The role of calculus in the transition from high school to college mathematics* (pp. 53–65). The Mathematical Association of America and the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. https://www.maa.org/sites/default/files/RoleOfCalc_rev.pdf

Scott-Clayton, J., Crosta, P. M., & Belfield, C. R. (2014). Improving the targeting of treatment: Evidence from college remediation. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, *36*(3), 371-393. https://doi.org/10.3102/0162373713517935

Selinski, N. E., & Milbourne, H. (2015). The institutional context. In D. Bressoud, V. Mesa, & C. Rasmussen (Eds.), *Insights and recommendations from the MAA national study of college calculus* (pp. 31–44). MAA Press. https://www.maa.org/sites/default/files/pdf/cspcc/InsightsandRecommendations.pdf

Seymour, E., Hunter, A. B., & Weston, T. J. (2019). Why are we still talking about leaving? In E. Seymour, & A. B. Hunter (Eds.), *Talking about leaving revisited: Persistence, relocation, and loss in undergraduate STEM education* (pp. 1–53). Springer Nature Switzerland AG. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-25304-2

Shaw, E. J., & Barbuti, S. (2010). Patterns of persistence in intended college major with a focus on STEM majors. *NACADA Journal*, *30*(2), 19–34. https://www.doi.org/10.12930/0271-9517-30.2.19

Silver, D., Hensley, E., Hong, Y., Siegel, P., & Bradby, D. (2017). University eligibility study for the public high school class of 2015. RTI International. https://opr.ca.gov/docs/20190823-RTI_Eligibility_Report_071417_FINALtoOPR.pdf

Smith, W. M., Rasmussen, C., & Tubbs, R. (2021). Introduction to the special issue: Insights and lessons learned from mathematics departments in the process of change. *PRIMUS*, *31*(3-5), 239–251. https://doi.org/10.1080/10511970.2021.1886207

Smith, W. M., Voigt, M., Ström, A. Webb, D. C., & Martin, W. G. (Eds.). (2021). *Transformational change efforts: Student engagement in mathematics through an institutional network for active learning.* American Mathematical Society. https://www.aplu.org/projects-and-initiatives/stem-education/seminal/

Sonnert, G., & Sadler, P. M. (2014). The impact of taking a college pre-calculus course on students' college calculus performance. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, *45*(8), 1188–1207. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/0020739X.2014.920532

Sonnert, G., & Sadler, P. (2015). The impact of instructor and institutional factors on students' attitudes. In D. Bressoud, V. Mesa, & C. Rasmussen (Eds.), *Insights and recommendations from the MAA national study of college calculus* (pp. 17–30). MAA Press. https://www.maa.org/sites/default/files/pdf/cspcc/InsightsandRecommendations.pdf

Soto, R. C., & Marzocchi, A. S. (2021). Learning about active learning while actively learning: Insights from faculty professional development. *PRIMUS*, *31*(3-5), 269–280. https://doi.org/10.1080/10511970.2020.1746449

Stinebrickner, T. R., & Stinebrickner, R. (2011). Math or science? Using longitudinal expectations data to examine the process of choosing a college major. National Bureau of Economic Research. [NBER Working Paper No. 16869]. http://www.nber.org/papers/w16869

Ström, A., Webb, D. C., Voigt, M., & Funk, R. (2021). Active learning mathematics. In W. M. Smith, M. Voigt, A. Ström, D. C. Webb, & W. G. Martin (Eds.), *Transformational change efforts: Student engagement in mathematics through an institutional network for active learning* (pp. 145–185). American Mathematical Society.

Tallman, M. A., Carlson, M. P., Bressoud, D. M., & Pearson, M. (2016). A characterization of calculus I final exams in US colleges and universities. *International Journal of Research in Undergraduate Mathematics Education*, *2*(1), 105-133. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40753-015-0023-9

Teague, D. (2017). The song remains the same, but the singers have changed. In D. M. Bressoud (Ed.), *The role of calculus in the transition from high school to college mathematics* (pp. 41–45). The Mathematical Association of America and the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. https://www.maa.org/sites/default/files/RoleOfCalc_rev.pdf

Thiry, H. (2019a). Issues with high school preparation. In E. Seymour, & A. B. Hunter (Eds.), *Talking about leaving revisited: Persistence, relocation, and loss in undergraduate STEM education* (pp. 137–147). Springer Nature Switzerland AG. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-25304-2

Thiry, H. (2019b). What enables persistence? In E. Seymour, & A. B. Hunter (Eds.), *Talking about leaving revisited: Persistence, relocation, and loss in undergraduate STEM education* (pp. 399–436). Springer Nature Switzerland AG. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-25304-2

Thompson, P. W. (2013). In the absence of meaning… . In K. R. Leatham (Ed.), *Vital directions for research in mathematics education* (pp. 57–93). Springer Science+Business Media. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-6977-3_4

Thompson, P. W., Ashbrook, M., & Milner, F. (2019). *Calculus: Newton, Leibniz, and Robinson meet technology.* Project DIRACC. http://patthompson.net/ThompsonCalc/

Thompson, P. W., Castillo-Chávez, C., Culbertson, R. J., Flores, A., Greeley, R., Haag, S., Lawon, A. E.; Rose, S. D., & Rutowski, R. L. (2007). *Failing the future: Problems of persistence and retention in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) majors at Arizona State University.* Office of the Provost, Arizona State University. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/221711747_Failing_the_Future_Problems_of_persistence_and_retention_in_science_technology_engineering_and_mathematics_STEM_majors_at_Arizona_State_University

Thompson, P. W., & Harel, G. (2021). Ideas foundational to calculus learning and their links to students' difficulties. *ZDM – Mathematics Education*, *53*, 507–519. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-021-01270-1

Tough, P. (2021). *The inequality machine: How college divides us.* Mariner Books.

Treisman, U. (1992). Studying students studying calculus: A look at the lives of minority mathematics students in college. *The College Mathematics Journal*, *23*(5), 362–372. https://doi.org/10.2307/2686410

Uhing, K., Hass, M., Voigt, M., Ström, A., & Calleros, E. (2021). Students' experiences with active learning mathematics. In W. M. Smith, M. Voigt, A. Ström, D. C. Webb, & W. G. Martin (Eds.), *Transformational change efforts: Student engagement in mathematics through an institutional network for active learning* (pp. 221–241). American Mathematical Society.

Uhing, K. Webb, C., Wakefield, A., Donsig, A., & Rasmussen, C. (2021). Professional development. In W. M. Smith, M. Voigt, A. Ström, D. C. Webb, & W. G. Martin (Eds.), *Transformational change efforts: Student engagement in mathematics through an institutional network for active learning* (pp. 243-260). American Mathematical Society.

U.S. Department of Education, Office for Civil Rights. (2016). A first look: Key data highlights on equity and opportunity gaps in our nation's public schools. 2013–2014 civil rights data collection. https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/docs/2013-14-first-look.pdf

Vestal, S. S., Brandenburger, T., & Furth, A. (2015). Improving student success in Calculus I using a co-requisite Calculus I lab. *PRIMUS, 25*(4), 381–387. https://doi.org/10.1080/10511970.2014.992561

Voigt, M., Apkarian, N., & Rasmussen, C. (2017). Diverging from the standard fare: Variations in the calculus curriculum. *MAA FOCUS,* February/March, 32-34. http://digitaleditions.walsworthprintgroup.com/publication/?m=7656&i=392392&p=34&ver=html5

Voigt, M., Apkarian, N., Rasmussen, C., & Progress through Calculus Team. (2020). Undergraduate course variations in precalculus through Calculus 2. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology, 51*(6), 858–875. https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739x.2019.1636148

Voigt, M., Gehrtz, J., Hagman, J. E., & Digregorio, G. (in press). Rethinking student support programs: Attending to student identities and systemic structures in introductory mathematics. In M. Voigt, J. E. Hagman, J. Gehrtz, B. Ratliff, N. Alexander, & R. Levy, R. (Eds.), *Justice through the lens of calculus: Framing new possibilities for diversity, equity, and inclusion.* Mathematical Association of America.

Voigt, M., Hagman, J. E., Gehrtz, J., Ratliff, B., Alexander, N., & Levy, R. (Eds.) (in press). *Justice through the lens of calculus: Framing new possibilities for diversity, equity, and inclusion.* Mathematical Association of America.

Voigt, M., Smith, W. M., Kress, N., Grant, D., & Ström, A. (2021). Culture and equity. In W. M. Smith, M. Voigt, A. Ström, D. C. Webb, & W. G. Martin (Eds.), *Transformational change efforts: Student engagement in mathematics through an institutional network for active learning* (pp. 277–298). American Mathematical Society.

Voyer, D., & Voyer, S. D. (2014). Gender differences in scholastic achievement: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin, 140*(4), 1174–1204. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0036620

Washington State Board for Community and Technical Colleges. (2016). Growing enrollment in calculus and precalculus courses.

Watkins, J., & Mazur, E. (2013). Retaining students in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) majors. *Journal of College Science Teaching, 42*(5), 36–41. https://www.jstor.org/stable/43631580

Webb, D. C., Funk, R., Uhing, K., & Bowers, J. (2021). Resources for active learning. In W. M. Smith, M. Voigt, A. Ström, D. C. Webb, & W. G. Martin (Eds.), *Transformational change efforts: Student engagement in mathematics through an institutional network for active learning* (pp. 261–275). American Mathematical Society.

Weston, T. J., Seymour, E., Kock, A. K., & Drake, B. M. (2019). Weed-out classes and their consequences. In E. Seymour, & A. B. Hunter (Eds.), *Talking about leaving revisited: Persistence, relocation, and loss in undergraduate STEM education* (pp. 197–243). Springer Nature Switzerland AG. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-25304-2

Whalen, D. F, & Shelley, M. C. (2010). Academic success for STEM and non-STEM majors. *Journal of STEM Education, 11*(1/2), 45–60. https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/pols_pubs/16

Wu, X. (2018). Persistence and characteristics of Calculus I students in STEM disciplines. [Graduate theses, dissertations, and problem reports, West Virginia University]. https://researchrepository.wvu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=8322&context=etd

Xie, Y., Fang, M., & Shauman, K. (2015). STEM education. *Annual Review of Sociology, 41*(1), 331–357. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-soc-071312-145659

Zazkis, D., & Nuñez, G. (2015). How departments use local data to inform and refine program improvements. In D. Bressoud, V. Mesa, & C. Rasmussen (Eds.), *Insights and recommendations from the MAA national study of college calculus* (pp. 123–132). MAA Press. https://www.maa.org/sites/default/files/pdf/cspcc/InsightsandRecommendations.pdf